

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,759	02/18/2004	Markus Miettinen	39700-612001US/NC43225U	IS 9776
MINIZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111		EXAMINER KIM, PAUL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2169	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/779,759	MIETTINEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PAUL KIM	2169	

	PAUL KIM	2169	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods:    The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   The period for reply expires on. (1) the mailing date of this A   The period for reply expires on. (1) the mailing date of this A   The period for reply expire to the mailing to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(s). The bat have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the prior of the have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the prior of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS  3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co			cadoo
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		timely filed amondmore	st concelling the
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable il submitted ili a separate, i	umery med amendmen	it canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.5-9.12-18.21-25.28-34.37-40.43 an Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>d 44</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).     Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Tony Mahmoudi/	Devil Kins		
/ rony manifoldi/	Paul Kim		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2169

Examiner, AU 2169

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 8, 15, 17-25, 28-31, 33, and 39 raise new issues.